Traceability CBA Tool

20.0%

40.0%

Traceability ROI (%)

60.0%

0.0%

A cost-benefit analysis tool for assessing new traceability systems

Model results		Return on investment (ROI) (%) over time		Instructions The Traceability cost-benefit analysis (CBA) tool has been developed to assist Victorian businesses assess the viability of procuring traceability systems. The tool estimates a discounted return on investment (ROI) based on user inputs			
Total ongoing be Addition	al revenue (less additional associated costs) \$ Reduction in business-as-usual costs \$	90.0% 90.0% 80.0%		regarding the costs and benefits of procuring a new traceability system. The accuracy of estimated results depends on the quality of information provided by the user on the <i>Inputs</i> page. The tool comprises two pages <i>Inputs</i> and <i>Summary</i> . The <i>Summary</i> page generates results based on the entries made on the <i>Inputs</i> page. Further instructions on entering data are on the <i>Inputs</i> page. For the <i>Summary</i> page results, please note:			
Total upfront cos	Reduction in crisis costs - its \$ Imposed by solution providers - initial costs \$	70.0%		Discounted ROI is the return of an investment that occurs over time, controlling for changes in the time value of money/inflation. The Model results panel provides headline results for the potential investment in a traceability system. This is			
Assis	Changes to business - initial costs \$ - stance for supply chain partners - initial costs \$ -	50.0% 40.0%		generated by summarising and comparing all costs and benefits associated with the traceability system. These results are a direct representation of the values entered on the <i>Inputs</i> page. Only the 'Likelihood of a X-year positive return' metric relies on the <i>Min, Max</i> and <i>Uncertainty</i> values entered.			
Total ongoing co	sts (per year) \$ - nposed by solution providers - ongoing costs \$ - Changes to business - ongoing costs \$ -	30.0%		The bottom two panels represent the uncertainty around the ROI in adopting the described traceability system, as outlined in the <i>Inputs</i> tab.			
[Input year]-year return on investment (Discounted ROI) Not computable Likelihood of a [Input year]-year positive return Not computable		10.0%	igen Vegen Vegen Vegen Vegen Vegen	The Probability density panel shows the likelihood of different ROI outcomes. The highest part of the curve is the most likely outcome. The Cumulative distribution panel shows the %-probability of the outcome being at or below a certain ROI.			
	Upfront return on investment (ROI) Not computable	~ ~ 0	y 5 0 7 0 3 40	Methodological assumptions The results rely on the following assumptions: Assumption 1. The expected number of crises over a five-year period are evenly distributed across that period.			
Probability o tra	density - Discounted ROI (%) from implementing ceability system over [Input year] years	Cummulative distribrib implementing traceabil	ution - Discounted ROI (%) from ity system over [Input year] years	Assumption 2. Probability distributions for each variable listed follow a beta distribution. When the Expected volue equals the midpoint of the Min and Max, the distribution is assumed to be symmetric. The spread of probabilities between the Min and Max is driven by the Uncertainty input. The table below shows the approximate likelihood of a variable being within 10% of the Min-Max gap either side of the Expected value.			
The probability density The area under the PD ROI falling in this rang	y function (PDF) shows the relative likelihood of different ROIs occurring.)F between two points on the horizontal axis equals the probability of the e.	The cumulative distribution function (CDF equal to the corresponding ROI on the ho) shows the probability that the ROI is less than or rizontal axis.				
1.0		100%					
0.9		90%		Disclaimer This model has been prepared by Agriculture Victoria for businesses to identify the potential benefits of introducing a traceability system .			
0.7		(%) 11 70%		Any results presented are gene other information and expertis estimate and should be used Low 90%			
opapility De		2 60%		High 30%			
0.4		E 40%					
0.2		20%					

0% 🖕

0.0%

20.0%

40.0%

Traceability ROI (%)

60.0%

80.0%

100.0%

ny results presented are gene other information and expertise	Uncertainty	Share of distribution +/- 10% total range from mean	n should be considered alongside nformation. These results are an	
stimate and should be used to	Low	90%		
	Medium	50%		
	High	30%		

100.0%

80.0%

Variable	Description	Expected	Min	Option	al Entries	-
Business Financials & Mo	odel Assumptions	Vuide		mux	Uncertainty	-
Business Financials						Instantions
Current annual revenue (\$)			1			instructions
Current annual costs (S) Model assumptions			-	-		This page seeks user-inputs to generate the model results. There are four columns for user input: Expected value Min. Max. and Uncertainty.
Discount rate (%)						input Expertee value, min, max, and once camp.
Evaluation period (Number of years after a	doption to include in calculations)		6 <u>7</u>			The columns Min, Max, and Uncertainty are optional fields. Completing these fields generates results on the Summary page on the probability of different ROI outcomes
Benefits of implementing	a traceability system					occurring Below is a further explanation of each column:
Increased Revenue - Input expected per	entage growth in revenue from the below benefits of implementing a traceability system			_		* Expected value – For each of the listed variables, this is the column in which the
Total expected increase in revenue asso	clated with implementing a traceability system (%) - You may fill in a single value to reflect the expected revenue increase, or you can fill in the items below.					most likely ourcome is effered. (E.g. If adopting new traceability processes is expected to increase annual revenue
If filling items below, please be careful to ACCESS TO NEW MARKETS	not double count revenue increases that may be related between the below impacts. Increases via combining with traceability regulations or relater requirements (%6)					by 1%, the user inputs '1%' under the Expected value column)
BRAND VALUE	Increases via targeted marketing, linking customers to their food source, authenticating provenance (%)					Min (optional entry) – The expected minimum possible value for the given
MARKET SHARE	Increases through customer / consumer engagement (%)					vanable.
PRICING & PURCHASING	increases using customer ricinistrier reconstres or improve or easign products more an en or more ducky (special market) (%) Improved consistency in oricinal structures and outchesing by importers, who esalers and retailers (%)					Max (optional entry)—The expected maximum possible value for the given
PRODUCT RANGING	Increased ranging in retail stores (%)					variable.
REPEAT PURCHASING	Increased through better consumer trust and engagement (%)					Uncertainty (optional entry) – The user's Uncertainty regarding the expected
The entries above indicate an expected 0	Any outer relevant solution including treesting (**) % increase in revenue. What costs (excluding traceability costs) would be required to achieve this growth? (%)					value. Entering Low indicates confidence that the expected value (or close to) is highly likely, while High indicates greater (uppertainty, in the High case, the
						outcome probabilities are more evenly distributed between the Min and Max
Decreased Costs (Business-As-Usual) - In Total business-as-usual cost reductions (put expected percentage reduction in annual costs from the below impacts of implementing a traceability system 5) - You may full in a single value to reflect the expected cost reductions or you can fill in the items below		1			values.
If filling items below, please be careful to	not double count cost reductions that may be related between the below impacts.					It is also important to note that when filling in benefits and costs, the user may either fill in
	Decrease from easier electronic cetification for customs, biosecurity, food safety, sustainability, wefare etc (%) Decrease from straemining for fond safety, biosecurity, nodo safety, usualizationability, wefare etc (%)					 values are filled, the model will ignore any of the more granular variable data provided.
AUTOMATION	Decrease from increased digitation of current manual business processes, increased integration, increased workforce efficiency (%)					
BRAND PROTECTION	Decrease from reduced food fraud and food substitution (%)					
DATA MANAGEMENT	Decrease from less paperwork, easier real time access of centralised data, easier sharing of data with supply chain partners (%) Decrease from retured time and materiale improved parent (%).					
MARKETING	Decrease from more larged markening toward specific market segments (%)					
PACKAGING	Decrease from less packaging, less wastage, improved recycling (%)					
QUALITY PRESERVATION	Decrease from reduced food waste via better cool chain management, storage, handling and transit, retail shelf rotation and consumer post-purchase communication (%) Decreases from reduced torduct electron bucksterion bucksteres (%)					
SUPPLY CHAIN / LOGISTICS	Decrease from increase of visibility and data generation. Better identification of bottlenecks. More targeted, real-time and improved logistics, retail and sales channels choices. (%)					
INSURANCE	Decrease from reduced annual insurance premiums for product or public liability, through better quality control or recall ability (%)					
OTHER	Uner cost decreases expected (%)					4
Decreased Costs (Crisis) - What reduction	n in crisis costs will a traceability system deliver?					
GLAIMS	With current system - Expected cursul experiencing a claimvawsun(s) With current system - Expected number of claims/lawsuits over a 5-year period					
	With traceability system implemented - Expected cost of experiencing a claim/lawsuit (\$)					
	With traceability system implemented - Expected number of claims/tawsurits over a 5-year period	-				
RECALLS	With current system - Expected cost of experiencing a recall (\$)					
	With current system - Expected number of recalls over a 5-year period					
	With traceability system implemented – Expected cost of experiencing a recall (\$) With traceability system implemented – Expected number of recalls (\$)					-
	Visit accessing system implemented - Expected named or recails over a systal period					4
BIOSECURITY OUTBREAKS	With current system - Expected cost of expenencing a biosecurity outbreak (\$)		1			
	With adopted implementing a tracebility system - Expected cost of extenencing a biosecurity outbreak (S)					
	With adopted implementing a traceability system - Expected number of biosecurity outbreaks over a 5-year period					
WEATHER (NATURAL DISACTER	With surrord suctors. Expected cost of expensions a weather event (actural disactor (\$)					
WEATHER / NATORAL DISASTER	with current system - Expected cursor experiencing a weather events / natural bisaster (a) With current system - Expected number of weather events / natural disasters cover a 5-year period					
	With adopted implementing a traceability system - Expected cost of experiencing a weather event / natural disaster (\$)					
	With adopted implementing a traceability system – Expected number of weather events / natural disasters over a 5-year penoli		1			4
Costs of implementing a	traceability system					1
SOLUTION PROVIDERS - Costs imposed	by the traceability service provide					1
Initial Costs (\$) - You may fill in a single va INSTALLATION (SET UP	alue to reflect the initial costs of the service provider. or you can fill in the items below Cast to set un system in first vera tailouter to nour business (8)					
MICROSITE / MOBILE WEB APP	including design features e.g. authentication, variety features, producer story, recall function, surveys, games, recipes, promotions, social media / web links, language translation (\$)					
DASHBOARD	Dashboard with display of all producer and product key data elements, scan results, survey feedback, integrated data monitoring from loggers etc (\$)					
LABELLING	Labelling including design, label codes, unique serialised printing, call to action, language translation (\$)		-			
TRAINING	For assessment, implementation and training (s) Staff training new standard operating procedures (S)					
TRACEABILITY STANDARDS	Traceability Standards implementation, membership, location or product codes costs (\$)					
LOGGERS	Loggers for real time tracking of temperature, location (\$) Provide interest acting a formula for use is future find substitution element (\$)					
EQUIPMENT	Ensure issues to Learner table in the stand of product, no use in table to association tables (s) Printers, sceners, labeling materials, cabling, servers, API connections etc. (s)					
CUSTOMISATION	Any further customisation of provider's standard service (\$)					
OTHER Ongoing Costs (\$) - You may fill in a single	Any other upfront costs imposed solution provider (S) value to reflect the opnoning nosts of the service provider or you can fill in the items below		-			
SUBSCRIPTION	Annual subscription fee (\$)					
SERVICE	On-call service fee (\$)					
CONSUMABLES	upgrade rees (s) Labels loaders etc (S)		-			
OTHER	Any other upfront costs imposed solution provider (S)					
BUSINESS - Costs facing your business (excluding those imposed by the service provider) in order to integrate the new traceability system					
Initial Costs (\$) - You may fill in a single va	alue to reflect the total upfront cost, or you can fill in the items below		-			
PRINTERS	Printer upgrades required e.g. inline printers (\$) Printer (Jaheller / seguere combinations (\$)					
SCANNERS	Scanners - handheld or archway/ door frame / gate / tower (\$)					
EQUIPMENT	Otter one-off equipment not provided by solution provider e.g. labelling materials, cabling, servers etc. (\$)					
SOFTWARE	Integration, Art contractions (5) Software usorades on floensing (5)					
LABOUR	IT team, Markeling team, Operational team (S)					
TRAINING	Staff training, new standard operating procedures in-house and for supply chain partners to promote traceability practices and label scanning (\$)				A second se	
	Manketing to consumers and supply chain partners to promote traceability awareness and saled iscanning (5) Cost to chane operational processes and reduced workloakee productivity during implementation (5)					
OTHER	Any other upfront costs unrelated to solution provider (\$)					
Ongoing Costs (\$) - Please input the valu	e of any ongoing costs you expect your business will face in using the traceability system (excl. service provider costs)					4
SUPPLY CHAIN PARTNER - Costs your be	usiness is expected to incur from integrating the new system with the systems of supply chain partners		2			
Initial Costs (\$) - You may fill in a single v	alue to reflect the total upfront cost, or you can fill in the items below					
INTEGRATION	scames or approving in any suppry chain particles e.g. narvesters / pickets / rugisaics etc. (3) Intergraph, API connections etc. (3)					
SOFTWARE	Software upgrades and for licensing (S)					
CHANGEOVER	Cost to change operational processes and reduced workplace productivity during implementation (\$) Any other unfort costs incurred by support chain patients which the business will pask for (\$)					
Ongoing Costs (\$) - Please input the valu	e of any ongoing costs you expect relating to supply chain partners		-			